

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/526,766 | 11/03/2005 | Georg Stoppelmann | STOPPELMANN2 | 6934 |
| 11/2M2010 Browdy and Neimark, PLLC 1625 K Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | USELDING, JOHN E | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1763 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | 10/526.766 | STOPPELMANN ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | John Uselding | 1763 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | • | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| ⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Neperiod for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejectio application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received onbut it does not constited final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below). | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-6). | | the statutory period of three months | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa, which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on | _(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for seeking court review | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | |
| | | | |
| /Milton I. Cano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1763 | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)